Jordan Phillips
PANISH | SHEA | RAVIPUDI LLP

Matthew Freeman
PANISH | SHEA | RAVIPUDI LLP

The trial architect

HOW JUNIOR ASSOCIATES CAN SET TRIALS UP TO WIN

At 8:58 a.m. on Day 1, the courtroom is packed, the judge is on the bench, and the projector is acting up – pretending not to recognize any device made after 2011. Plaintiff's counsel is about to stand for opening when defense counsel says, "Before we begin, exhibit 12 is different from the version we received." Everyone looks down the table.

The junior associate does not. They slide over the pre-marked Exhibit 12 that matches the exchanged set, with a foundation note clipped to the front. No drama. No scramble. Opening starts on time.

That is the junior associate's job at trial. Not "helping." Engineering a trial that runs as smoothly as possible. In California courts, standing orders, local rules, evidentiary lines, tech limitations, and plain human fatigue all collide in the same room during trials. A junior associate who builds systems before trial – and executes calmly during trial – largely influences the outcome.

Preparation is key

As with anything in the practice of law, preparation is key. Being properly prepared can make up for inexperience. So, how do you prepare for your first trial?

It starts on day one. As soon as a case comes in, make a detailed discovery plan. What witnesses are out there? What documents do you need to prove your case? How will you go about getting each piece of evidence? I always make a chart that lays out the names of every potential witness in the case, their role/relation to the case, their contact information, and any relevant testimony or evidence that their testimony provides. This helps you stay organized and will serve as a blueprint for your case leading up to trial.

As the junior associate, you are usually the person who will live inside the system, so you are the best person to build it. You need to be the expert on the case. No document goes in the file without your eyes on it. Identify any documents that will be critical in proving your case early on.

If there is a piece of bad evidence, identify it as soon as possible and develop a plan to mitigate its effect on your client's case. For example, involving cases with notice requirements, make a list of all documents that contain any notice evidence, policies, or reports, and start to identify which witnesses can testify about the documents and how you will overcome any evidentiary hurdles.

Those documents will be crucial later during discovery and trial. If you are not thinking about how you will get them into evidence early, you may may forget about one of them or miss getting a key admission during deposition. Do this prep work early in the case, and it will save you time and pay dividends later.

Always make sure to look at departmental and local rules for your assigned judge to make sure you are on top of the various deadlines as trial approaches. Many counties and individual departments have their own specific rules pertaining to trial deadlines, filing of trial papers, documents required for Trial Readiness Conference, and what motions in limine ("MILs") are appropriate (or not) to bring. As the junior associate, it is your job to know these requirements and be prepared leading up to trial. This will often mean being initiative-taking in preparing drafts of joint trial documents to preserve your trial date.

The people person

Two days before trial, a treating doctor suddenly "can't make it," or a corporate witness is scheduled to be on a plane at noon. Nobody planned for it because everybody assumed it would be fine. It is never fine. Trials are people problems disguised as legal problems. If your witness calendar collapses, your narrative and ability to prove your case collapse as well.

This is prime junior-associate territory. Build professional relationships with the key witnesses early so scheduling issues surface before they become emergencies. Build a witness-appearance calendar that includes availability windows, travel constraints, and prep time needed. Update it weekly as trial approaches. Smooth witness sequencing makes the story feel coherent and intentional and keeps you from improvising in front of the jury.

Do your best throughout the case to develop a good relationship with your client. Trial (and the weeks leading up to it) will be stressful for both you and your client. Developing rapport and trust with your client prior to the trial-preparation stage can help alleviate much of the stress they will be feeling as trial approaches. It will also help manage the client's expectations regarding trial deadlines (which are prone to shift at a moment's notice) and any ongoing settlement negotiations with defendants.

Observe a trial

If you have never been to trial and have only seen trials on television, then attending a trial in person can help prepare you in several ways: (1) It can help you see the structure and rules of trial. Trials, and especially voir dire procedure, can be arcane and confusing. (2) It can help you avoid costly mistakes in your own trial. As a fly on the wall, you can pay attention to things you would not think about during your own trial and use them to critique and improve your trial skills. (3) It can familiarize you with trial objections/rulings. At trial, everything is fast paced. Observing objections and

Architect *continues*

rulings can help bring you to the speed and rhythm of trial. (4) It can give you an opportunity to see your opposing counsel in trial. Every trial attorney has their own style. Learning your opponent's can give you a tactical advantage.

Leading up to trial

Three weeks before trial, someone will say, "We just need to get organized." That sentence is how trials die: slowly in prep, then suddenly in front of jurors. Set a meeting with lead counsel and ask what tasks are expected from you leading up to and during trial. That will prevent surprise deadlines and gives you permission to drive process instead of waiting for tasks. A team that never fumbles documents and never looks surprised by its own evidence looks trustworthy. You need to build a workflow that survives pressure. For example, will you be expected to make demonstratives/PowerPoint presentations? Do you need to coordinate with a court reporter/translator? How will you copy/transmit juror questionnaires?

Start with the end: The trial-theory packet

A trial-theory packet is the trial team's shared operating system: theme, story, proof plan, witness purposes, exhibit purposes, and landmines. Start in plain English. If you cannot explain the case without jargon, the jury cannot either. Then connect the story to what must be proven under the claims and defenses. Verify the exact elements and instructions for your case and apply them to your facts. Using the concepts and verbiage that will later appear in jury instructions, and a special verdict form helps keep the theory tethered to what matters in proving your case.

Start with a simple theme sentence and three pillars. Then create a matrix connecting the legal elements you need to prove with the corresponding evidence in the case you will use to prove it. Create witness-purpose sheets to detail what testimony you want from a particular witness and what exhibits they need to get admitted. Finally, create a chart of motions in limine rulings and the practical impact of each.

Keep the packet alive during trial. If an in-limine ruling narrows a theme, the packet changes. If testimony creates a clean admission, the packet changes. A junior associate can own that upkeep so lead counsel can focus on presentation.

The elements-to-evidence matrix

An elements-to-evidence matrix prevents the nightmare scenario mid-trial where a witness steps down and someone at counsel table whispers, "Wait, did we actually get causation in?" That should never happen. An elements-to-evidence matrix is a simple table that links every essential point – liability, defenses, and damages to the specific testimony and exhibits that prove it.

Then, during trial, you cross-reference the matrix to ensure that no stone is left unturned, and no evidence is denied admission, for each and every witness. It shows what is covered, what is doubled up, and what is missing – with proposed fixes (another witness, a stipulation, a narrowed claim, or a demonstrative that makes existing proof understandable).

Build it early, even if it is ugly at first. You can revise it as the case progresses. This document wins trials because it prevents trial drift (proving interesting facts instead of necessary ones) and reduces the risk of a silent gap that becomes a directed verdict argument.

Turn deposition transcripts into courtroom leverage

A witness says, "I never saw the warning sign." Lead counsel keeps a neutral face, but their eyes flick to you: Do we have the page-line for their deposition testimony where they admit that they did see the warning sign? Depositions are not backup for trial. They are trial inventory. The junior associate who converts transcripts into usable trial tools gives the team speed and confidence.

Your job is to build impeachment clips (topic-coded Q/A pairs with page-line), a soundbite bank (clean lines for opening/closing), and a contradiction index (who conflicts with whom on the same issue).

Keep impeachment short and surgical. No theatrics. Nobody wants a five-minute transcript reading. Just receipts. Your goal is a 20-second "credibility moment" that resets the jury's understanding. Impeachment is rarely about the gotcha. It is about credibility and control, and control is persuasive.

Motions in limine

Treat MILs as trial choreography: what the jury can hear, what themes survive, what landmines disappear, and what limiting language keeps the trial moving. Keep them narrow and high leverage. Point out specific evidence that you want excluded and include supporting documentation (such as an expert's deposition testimony) in your motion.

Remember that the judge does not know the details of your case and craft your MILs in a way that gives your judge the knowledge that they need to feel comfortable excluding certain evidence or testimony. Filing 20 low-strength motions is a fantastic way to irritate the judge and lose credibility on the five rulings that really matter.

Track rulings like your examinations and closing depend on them because they do. The ruling is not the end; it is an action item: revise opening, adjust witness prep, redact exhibits, and update objection scripts. Make sure defense does not run afoul of them. California departments vary widely. Read the department rules early, follow formatting and timing requirements, and keep the team out of avoidable compliance trouble. MILs shape the information environment the jury lives in. Clean boundaries mean fewer sidebars and fewer bell-unringing moments.

Voir dire

Voir dire moves fast. Names blur. People talk in half-sentences. By the time a juror drops the line that matters, the conversation has already moved on. While lead counsel builds rapport with the panel, you can track themes, quotes, and strike strategy in real time. Your goal is not to pick the jury from counsel

Architect *continues*

table; your goal is to capture information accurately so lead counsel can make good decisions.

Follow court rules, local practice, and firm policy regarding any research on jurors. This is about listening and documenting, not about creating problems. Monitor reactions that senior attorneys may not be able to pick up on. Ask your court reporter to provide rough transcripts each day, and pull the key quotes each night. A clean quote can be the difference between a denied and granted cause challenge – or between losing and keeping a juror you need.

Demonstratives and trial tech

Day 2, the video depo will not play, and suddenly the courtroom is watching lawyers troubleshoot audio like it is open mic night. This is not what you want in front of jurors. Demonstratives are comprehension tools, not decorations. Trial tech is part of witness control, time management, and credibility. Build visuals that answer juror questions: timelines, simple diagrams of a scene, clean callouts of key contract clauses, and side-by-side comparisons where appropriate.

In products and premises cases, a clean scene diagram often does more work than a paragraph of testimony. Treat every demonstrative like it will be challenged. Tie it to evidence. Label it clearly as demonstrative when it is not admitted evidence. Confirm your judge's preferences and any pretrial orders about electronic presentation and video deposition playback.

Then rehearse the tech on the equipment you will use. Bring backups in multiple formats: PDFs, printed boards, and a laptop that never touches the internet. Trial tech behaves perfectly in your office and then melts down in the courtroom, plan accordingly. Jurors decide faster when they understand faster. Clean visuals and reliable playback reduce downtime and strengthen the feeling of coherence. (Also: courtroom Wi-Fi is not a winning strategy.)

The objection playbook

Opposing counsel asks a question that is obviously problematic. Lead counsel does not want to look jumpy but also cannot let it land. This is where junior associates shine, if they are prepared. Build an objection playbook specific to your case: likely objection categories, clean one-line objections, and clean one-line responses. Keep it short. Also, keep notes of important rulings. Trial teams sometimes win the argument and lose the record. Your job is to make sure the record reflects what was offered, what was excluded, why it mattered, and whether a limiting instruction was requested or given.

Be strategic about objections. Jurors notice constant interruptions. Coordinate with lead counsel about when to stand and when to let it go. Your goal is not to object to everything; it is to keep unfair prejudice out and keep your themes intact. Maintain a running log of key rulings and objections. It feeds your nightly memo and your closing – and saves you when someone asks, "Remind me what the judge ruled on that prior complaint evidence?" Smart objections control the information environment, and clean preservation protects the verdict you worked for.

War room

By Day 4, fatigue turns simple tasks into errors: wrong exhibit, wrong date, wrong page. The jury does not see the missed sleep. They see the stumble. That is why you must run trial like an operation. The junior associate can function as the quarterback from counsel table: keeping process tight so lead counsel can focus on the witness and the jury.

Confirm witness order, time budget, exhibits to queue, demonstratives needed, and evidentiary landmines. Then make sure everyone understands their assignment: exhibits, testimony tracking, rulings/objections, and tech. At breaks, clean up loose ends: confirm exhibits were admitted, capture admissions verbatim, and update the rulings log. Trials are won in the margins – time saved, confusion avoided, clean transitions. Operational discipline keeps your narrative intact.

Live fact-checking and impeachment

A witness gives an answer that is close, but wrong in the way that will metastasize by closing if left untreated. Lead counsel cannot pause to rummage through the file. You can. Live support during trial is not about panicking louder than everyone else. It is about calmly capturing the testimony, identifying the best correcting source, and handing lead counsel a clean fix. Run a live testimony tracker. Write admissions down verbatim where possible. Flag contradictions and door-openers. If the witness drifts, propose the smallest effective correction: two questions on redirect, a single impeachment clip, or a quick exhibit publication that clarifies a date or sequence. Two additional jobs matter here. First, keep expert demonstratives and key slides consistent with scope rulings. Second, manage your own reactions. Jurors watch counsel table. If you flinch, scoff, or celebrate, the jury will read it as insecurity or gamesmanship. Jurors remember most what they hear first and last. Clean correction prevents bad facts from becoming "truth" and preserves momentum.

The post-day memo

At 6:30 p.m., everyone is exhausted and swears they will remember the admissions. They will not. Trials are memory-erasing machines. The nightly memo is the junior associate's secret weapon: one page that captures what happened, what matters, and what tomorrow needs. Keep it brutally usable: bullets of what happened, the best few moments, the key admissions (with exact language), rulings updates, open problems, and a tight plan for tomorrow.

If you can get rough transcripts, pull quotes nightly and feed them into a "closing bank" organized by theme. This prevents overnight chaos. It forces the team to confirm witness order, exhibits, demonstratives, and what you are not allowed to say because of a ruling. The memo turns fatigue into strategy and keeps tomorrow from starting in panic.

Ethical guardrails under pressure

Architect *continues*

Trial pressure does strange things to good people. A witness tries to "help" by adding new details. A client suggests "cleaning up" a timeline. Or you realize something said to the court needs correction. This is not where you become the hero. This is where you become a professional. Spot risk early, raise it quickly to supervising counsel, and keep the team inside ethical lines while still fighting hard.

On witness prep, boundaries are non-negotiable: Do not script false testimony, do not pressure content, and do not "fix" memory. On exhibits and disclosure, do not play games with court orders. If something stated to the court is wrong, fix it promptly and cleanly. Treat sensitive witnesses with dignity. Follow protective orders and in limine limits. And remember that jurors watch counsel table: Professionalism is part of persuasion. Ethical discipline protects credibility, prevents avoidable emergencies, and preserves the verdict you earn.

Conclusion

That Day 1 moment – the "your exhibit doesn't match ours" grenade – is not solved by brilliance. It is solved by systems built early, kept current, and running diligently. The quiet superpower of a strong junior associate is that you make it hard for the case to go sideways. You keep the story tight, the record clean, and the courtroom minutes from leaking away in preventable confusion. And if you do it right, nobody notices. The judge does not get irritated. Jurors do not get bored. Lead counsel does not lose momentum. In trial work, that is what winning often looks like: dozens of small, disciplined choices that make the jury's job easy.

Jordan Phillips is an attorney at Panish | Shea | Ravipudi LLP, *focusing on complex personal injury, wrongful death, and product-defect cases. He may be contacted via email at jphillips@panish.law.*

Matthew Freeman is an attorney at Panish | Shea | Ravipudi, LLP, *where his practice focuses on representing survivors of sexual abuse and victims of catastrophic injuries.*