

PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI LLP

psbrlaw.com

1 PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI LLP
ROBERT S. GLASSMAN, State Bar No. 269816
2 *rglassman@psbr.law*
ERIKA CONTRERAS, State Bar No. 260230
3 *econtreras@psbr.law*
11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 700
4 Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: 310.477.1700
5 Facsimile: 310.477.1699

6 BANNER | ATTORNEYS
7 BRIAN BANNER, State Bar No. 282225
brian@bpilaw.com
8 25950 Acero, Suite 200
Mission Viejo, California 92691
9 Telephone: 855.411.2266
Facsimile: 877.876.4970

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11

12 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, RIVERSIDE HISTORIC COURTHOUSE**
14

15 ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, individually
and as Successor in Interest to THE ESTATE
16 OF OBED L. BARBOSA CARBAJAL;
KIALLY A. VARGAS CARBAJAL, an
17 individual; ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA as
Guardian ad litem for MARIO I. CARRANZA
18 CARBAJAL, a minor and KIARA Y.
BARBOSA CARBAJAL, a minor,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 CITY OF RIVERSIDE, a municipality;
22 ANDRES LARIS, an individual and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive,

23 Defendants.
24

Case No. **CVRI 2306676**

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:

1. **DANGEROUS CONDITION OF PUBLIC PROPERTY**
2. **NEGLIGENCE**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

25
26 COME NOW Plaintiffs, ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, individually and as Successor in
27 Interest to THE ESTATE OF OBED L. BARBOSA CARBAJAL; KIALLY A. VARGAS
28 CARBAJAL, an individual; ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA as Guardian ad litem for MARIO I.

1 CARRANZA CARBAJAL, a minor and KIARA Y. BARBOSA CARBAJAL, a minor, for causes
2 of action against Defendant CITY OF RIVERSIDE, ANDRES LARIS, and DOES 1 through 50,
3 inclusive, who complain and allege as follows:

4 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

5 1. This wrongful death and personal injury case stems from an auto collision that
6 occurred in Riverside, California. On May 16, 2023 around 7:30 a.m., the Carbajal siblings were
7 driving eastbound on Arlington Avenue. Kially Carbajal, 25 years old, was driving the family's
8 2015 Nissan Altima, while Mario Carbajal, 16, sat in the front passenger seat, and Kiara Carbajal,
9 10, and Obed Carbajal, 8, sat in the backseat. As they approached the bend on Arlington Avenue
10 near the intersection with Stover Avenue, another driver travelling westbound on Arlington
11 Avenue lost control of his vehicle and skid into eastbound traffic. Andres Laris was driving a
12 2020 Honda Civic, California License Plate No. 8TTB670 ("SUBJECT VEHICLE"). The two
13 vehicles collided head-on ("Subject Incident") in the eastbound lanes.

14 2. 8-year-old Obed Liam Barbosa Carbajal died from his injuries at the scene. Kiara
15 Carbajal suffered catastrophic injuries including a severe brain injury requiring emergency
16 surgery, two broken legs, and a spinal injury. Mario Carbajal sustained brain swelling and had to
17 have an emergency spine fusion surgery. Kially Carbajal suffered catastrophic injuries as well,
18 including a broken arm and broken foot that both required surgery. Kially Carbajal, Mario
19 Carbajal and Kiara Carbajal all suffered emotional injuries by personally witnessing the injuries of
20 their siblings, and the death of their youngest sibling, Obed Carbajal.

21 PARTIES

22 3. Plaintiff ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA is the mother of OBED L. BARBOSA
23 CARBAJAL and resides in Riverside, California. Moreover, Plaintiff ANA E. CARBAJAL
24 GARCIA is Decedent OBED L. BARBOSA CARBAJAL's successor-in-interest for all purposes in
25 this litigation pursuant to Code of Code Civil Procedure § 377.32.

26 4. Plaintiff KIALLY A. VARGAS CARBAJAL resides in Riverside, California.

27 5. Plaintiff MARIO I. CARRANZA CARBAJAL is a minor born on August 26, 2006,
28 and brings this action by and through his Guardian ad Litem, ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, his

1 mother.

2 6. Plaintiff KIARA Y. BARBOSA CARBAJAL is a minor born on February 13, 2013,
 3 and brings this action by and through her Guardian ad Litem, ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, her
 4 mother.

5 7. OBED L. BARBOSA CARBAJAL, Decedent, would have been the plaintiff in this
 6 action had he lived. Decedent's actions are brought by Plaintiffs on behalf of his mother pursuant to
 7 California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 377.10 to 377.35 inclusive.

8 8. Prior to the filing of this action, pursuant to California Government Code sections
 9 905, 910 et. seq., and 945.4, Plaintiffs timely served a written government claim. Defendant CITY
 10 OF RIVERSIDE has rejected and/or may be deemed to have rejected said claim. Consequently,
 11 Plaintiffs have standing to bring suit for monetary damages against the aforementioned public entity
 12 Defendant.

13 9. Defendant CITY OF RIVERSIDE, at all times relevant herein, was a public entity
 14 duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and authorized
 15 to do, and is doing, business in the State of California with its principal place of business in the
 16 County of Riverside, State of California.

17 10. Defendant ANDRES LARIS at all times relevant herein, was a resident of Corona,
 18 California.

19 11. The true names and capacities, whether individual, plural, corporate, partnership,
 20 associate, or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore
 21 sue said defendants by such fictitious names. The full extent of the facts linking such fictitiously
 22 sued defendants is unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege,
 23 that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE was, and is, negligent, or in some other
 24 actionable manner, responsible for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, and thereby
 25 negligently, or in some other actionable manner, legally and proximately caused the hereinafter
 26 described injuries and damages to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will hereafter seek leave of the Court to
 27 amend this Complaint to show the defendants' true names and capacities after the same have been
 28 ascertained.

1 815.3, 820, 835, 835.2.

2 16. The Subject Roadway had various dangerous conditions existing thereon, including
3 but not limited to, the following:

4 (a) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
5 designed, built, placed, drafted, engineered, controlled, maintained, inspected,
6 modified, rehabilitated, planned, contracted, and/or regulated;

7 (b) The Subject Roadway was defectively and negligently designed and maintained by
8 failing to provide adequate warnings or signage indicating the presence of an
9 upcoming sharp turn, or any other signs, signals, or forms of warning to alert
10 motorists of the sharp turn and/or to reduce speed;

11 (c) The Subject Roadway was negligently controlled by allowing a speed limit that
12 was excessive for the sharpness of the turn, and by failing to reduce the speed limit
13 in proximity to the turn, promoting an unsafe speed that created a dangerous
14 condition on the sharp turn;

15 (d) The Subject Roadway was defectively and negligently designed and/or
16 rehabilitated, allowing for pavement and/or road conditions that failed to provide
17 adequate grip for vehicles and contributed to the ease with which vehicles were
18 able to skid on the road upon taking the sharp turn at the posted speed limit;

19 (e) The Subject Roadway was defectively and negligently maintained and controlled
20 by failing to provide any safety measures, barriers, raised asphalt, concrete median
21 divider, and/or safety rails that could have prevented vehicles from skidding or
22 from colliding into other vehicles, residences, people, structures, and/or objects
23 when skidding or losing control on the road when taking the sharp turn;

24 (f) The Subject Roadway was defectively and negligently designed and controlled
25 without effective or adequate measures, such as signage, warnings, amber flashing
26 lights, or road markings that prompted motorists to slow down or drive with
27 caution along the sharp turn;

28 (g) The Subject Roadway was defectively and negligently designed and maintained by

- 1 failing to provide any measures that minimized skidding along the sharp turn,
2 including a failure to implement or apply any high friction surface treatment
3 despite a high number of vehicles losing control and skidding along the Roadway;
- 4 (h) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
5 designed and maintained, as its created a condition in which motorists approaching
6 the sharp turn were unable to clearly see vehicles approaching from the opposite
7 direction and no warning, signage, light signal, amber signal, or any other kind of
8 signal was provided to inform motorist about the status of oncoming traffic;
- 9 (i) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
10 designed and maintained, as the above-referenced dangerous conditions led to
11 recurring vehicles colliding into residences along Subject Roadway, including
12 vehicles colliding into residential walls, and/or crashing into residential yards;
- 13 (j) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
14 designed and control in its failure to provide any mechanism to control the speed or
15 flow of traffic, or break up the flow of traffic, thereby allowing motorists to
16 unsafely speed around the sharp turn, resulting in numerous vehicular accidents;
- 17 (k) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
18 designed and controlled by its failure to provide any stop signs, traffic lights, or any
19 other measure to control the flow of traffic in the area despite multiple service
20 requests for the same;
- 21 (l) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
22 designed and controlled by its failure to provide any stop signs, traffic lights, or any
23 other measure to control the flow of traffic in the area despite frequent evaluation
24 and ranking to install the same;
- 25 (m) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
26 designed and maintained by its failure to implement or apply any kind of measure
27 to prevent against motorists speeding around the sharp turn at high speeds;
- 28 (n) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively

1 designed and maintained by its failure to restripe the lanes along the roadway as a
 2 precautionary measure to improve traffic flow and reduce risk of accidents;

3 (o) The Subject Roadway was improperly, dangerously, negligently, and defectively
 4 designed and maintained by its failure to have traffic control measures such as a
 5 stop sign or traffic signal in that area so vehicles would slow down before entering
 6 the sharp turn;

7 (p) The combination of the above-referenced dangerous conditions created a concealed
 8 trap to foreseeable users of the Subject Roadway such as Plaintiffs;

9 (q) The Subject Roadway is a high accident location with many accidents and requests
 10 for service at the location.

11 17. Defendant had actual and constructive notice of the dangerous condition of the
 12 SUBJECT ROADWAY as far back as 2009. Since 2009, the SUBJECT ROADWAY has had
 13 over 29 motor vehicle collisions, including three fatal collisions and several head on collisions
 14 similar to the SUBJECT INCIDENT. Over the last 14 years, the residents of the surrounding areas
 15 called, emailed, attending meetings, to raise concerns about the safety of the SUBJECT
 16 ROADWAY. In April of 2015, Defendant City of Riverside created a plan to install certain
 17 measures (traffic light, high friction surface treatments) to the SUBJECT ROADWAY, but never
 18 implemented the project prior to the SUBJECT INCIDENT.

19 18. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant City of
 20 Riverside, and DOES 1 through 40 negligently and/or recklessly owned, built, drafted, engineered,
 21 designed, inspected, regulated, modified, directed, supervised, planned, contracted, maintained
 22 and controlled the Subject Roadway. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe that Defendant
 23 City of Riverside, and DOES 1 through 40, negligently and/or recklessly caused, created and/or
 24 allowed to exist and to continue to exist said dangerous condition(s) with respect to the Subject
 25 Roadway, even after being on notice of other similar incidents on the same Roadway. Plaintiffs
 26 are further informed and believe that Defendant City of Riverside, and DOES 1 through 40
 27 misconduct and/or negligence in causing, creating, allowing to exist and to continue to exist said
 28 dangerous condition(s) with respect to the Subject Roadway, created a reasonably foreseeable risk

1 of injury to motorists using the Subject Roadway in a reasonable manner. Based upon the
2 aforementioned facts, said Subject Property constituted a dangerous condition of public property
3 at the time of the subject incident for many reasons, including but not limited to, those stated
4 above and the following:

- 5 (a) The Subject Roadway was dangerous and defectively planned, designed, drafted,
6 engineered, constructed and positioned, and was either not approved in accordance
7 with standard procedure, regulations and statutes (thereby violating same) or could
8 not reasonably have been approved by any appropriate and responsible
9 governmental entity or any delegates and/or agents thereof;
- 10 (b) To the extent the design of the Subject Roadway and adjacent property was
11 approved, if any approval was requested and given, the requesting and responding
12 authority(ies)/delegate(s) and agent(s) were incompetent or failed to possess the
13 requisite skills and expertise to render a reasonable evaluation of the benefits, risks
14 and dangers of the plan as submitted or amended and approved;
- 15 (c) To the extent the design of the Subject Roadway was approved, said approval was
16 unreasonable and constituted a manifest abuse of discretion, or was otherwise
17 negligent by failing to address the applicable engineering standards and conditions
18 then existing or reasonably contemplated to exist in the future, once said design
19 was implemented;
- 20 (d) The Subject Roadway was at all times dangerous, improperly and defectively
21 maintained, managed, inspected, installed, repaired, modified, reviewed and
22 evaluated, if in fact it was maintained, managed, inspected, reviewed and evaluated.
23 To the extent such functions were not performed, they should have been, and to the
24 extent they were performed, they were done improperly, negligently, and violated
25 applicable engineering standards and regulations pertaining to similarly situated
26 roadways;
- 27 (e) The Subject Roadway was at all relevant times in a dangerous condition due to the
28 lack of appropriate signage, markings, warnings, barriers, safety railings, adequate

1 pavement, appropriate speed limit or other measures necessary to prevent traffic
2 collision incidents of the type that occurred in this case.

- 3 (f) There was negligence by the agents and/or employees of Defendant City of
4 Riverside in the ownership, control, construction, maintenance, inspection,
5 placement, supervision, repairs, design, modifications of and to the Subject
6 Roadway including creating and failing to warn against a non-obvious and
7 concealed trap. There was further negligence in failure to properly supervise,
8 educate, train, monitor and test workers including employees, agents and/or
9 independent contractors who were responsible for doing the acts and tasks noted
10 above. There was further negligence in a failure to warn of these known risks and
11 hazards, some of which were created by Defendant City of Riverside and some of
12 which existed for a sufficient period of time to provide warnings and/or remove the
13 risk or hazard and failure to warn of previous similar incidents;
- 14 (g) The area of the subject incident was in a dangerous condition because of the failure
15 to exercise due care in the ownership or control of the Subject Roadway and
16 adjacent property, in that the lack of signage, markings, as well as other dangers
17 noted above, created a concealed trap. Said property was further unsafe because of
18 improper materials used, failure to properly supervise, educate, train, monitor and
19 test workers including employees and independent contractors who were
20 responsible for doing the acts and tasks noted above. There was a failure to warn
21 of these known risks and hazards, some of which were created by Defendant City
22 of Riverside and some of which existed for a sufficient period of time to provide
23 warnings and/or remove the risk or hazard. Additionally, Defendant City of
24 Riverside further engaged in a failure to warn of a high number of previous vehicle
25 incidents of a similar nature to the subject incident at said location; and,
- 26 (h) Failure to properly plan, modify, alter, construct, monitor, improve, repair, manage,
27 design, control, maintain and service said property after deterioration of the subject
28 roadway as well as changes in traffic patterns and usage of the property since its

1 original design and construction.

2 19. The combination of the above-referenced dangerous conditions created a concealed
3 trap to foreseeable users of the subject roadway such as Decedent and Plaintiffs.

4 20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant City of
5 Riverside, and DOES 1 through 40, inclusive, had, within the meaning of *Government Code* §
6 835.2, actual and/or constructive knowledge of the said dangerous and defective conditions of the
7 Subject Roadway for a sufficient period of time prior to the subject incident to have taken
8 measures to prevent such incidents due to the longstanding physical appearance and conditions of
9 the Subject Roadway. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, that Defendant City of
10 Riverside, and DOES 1 through 40, inclusive, also had actual knowledge of prior incidents in
11 proximity to the subject incident, for sufficient period of time prior to the subject incident to have
12 taken measures to prevent further such incidents.

13 21. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that said dangerous
14 conditions were a legal, direct, and proximate cause of the injury and damages suffered by
15 Decedent and Plaintiffs, including the death of Obed Liam Barbosa Carbajal.

16 22. As a legal, direct, and proximate cause of the conduct of Defendant City of
17 Riverside and DOES 1 through 40, inclusive, as aforesaid, all Plaintiffs have incurred property,
18 medical, and loss of earnings in an amount to be stated according to proof, pursuant to section
19 425.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA and
20 THE ESTATE OF OBED L. BARBOSA are also claiming funeral and burial expenses and a loss
21 of earnings capacity.

22 23. As a legal, direct and proximate cause of the conduct of Defendant City of
23 Riverside and DOES 1 through 40, inclusive, as aforesaid, Plaintiff Ana Esther Carbajal Garcia
24 has sustained damages resulting from the loss of love, affection, society, service, comfort, support,
25 right of support, expectations of future support and counseling, companionship, solace and mental
26 support, as well as other benefits and assistance of Obed L. Barbosa Carbajal, all to her general
27 damages in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court, which will be stated
28 according to proof, pursuant to Section 425.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff

1 28. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs Kially A. Vargas Carbajal, Mario I. Carranza
2 Carbajal, and Kiara Y. Barbosa Carbajal were seated inside the Nissan Altima, during the subject
3 event, and were within the zone of danger to the injury-producing event. Plaintiffs were all in the
4 SUBJECT VEHICLE at the time of impact thereby compromising the occupant protection zone.
5 Plaintiffs all sustained physical injuries and emotional injuries as they witnessed their family
6 members being injured.

7 29. As a legal, direct and proximate result of said negligence of said Defendants, as
8 aforesaid, Plaintiffs have sustained and are certain in the future to sustain disabling, serious and
9 permanent injuries.

10 30. As a further direct, legal and proximate result of said negligence of said
11 Defendants, as aforesaid, Plaintiffs have incurred and will incur in the future, medical, hospital, x-
12 ray and sundry expenses in the examination, care and treatment of Plaintiffs' injuries, within the
13 jurisdiction of this court, and in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

14 31. As a further direct, legal and proximate result of said negligence of said
15 Defendants, as aforesaid, Plaintiffs have suffered lost earnings, past, present, and future, within the
16 jurisdiction of this court, and in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

17 32. As a further direct, legal and proximate result of the said negligence of said
18 Defendants, as aforesaid, Plaintiffs sustained damage and injuries to personal property, within the
19 jurisdiction of this court, and in an amount within the jurisdiction of this court and according to
20 proof at the time of trial.

21 33. As a legal, direct, and proximate cause of the conduct of Defendant City of
22 Riverside and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, as aforesaid, all Plaintiffs have incurred property,
23 medical, funeral and burial expenses in an amount to be stated according to proof, pursuant to
24 section 425.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

25 34. As a legal, direct and proximate cause of the conduct of Defendant City of
26 Riverside and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, as aforesaid, Plaintiff Ana Esther Carbajal Garcia
27 has sustained damages resulting from the loss of love, affection, society, service, comfort, support,
28 right of support, expectations of future support and counseling, companionship, solace and mental

1 support, as well as other benefits and assistance of Obed Liam Barbosa Carbajal, all to her general
 2 damages in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court, which will be stated
 3 according to proof, pursuant to Section 425.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff
 4 Ana Esther Carbajal Garcia has also incurred property, medical, funeral, and burial expenses in an
 5 amount to be stated according to proof, pursuant to Section 425.10 of the California Code of Civil
 6 Procedure. Plaintiffs Kially Anneth Vargas Carbajal, Mario Isaac Carranza Carbajal, and Kiara
 7 Yaretzi Barbosa Carbajal have suffered catastrophic personal injuries and incurred medical
 8 expenses in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court, which will be stated
 9 according to proof, pursuant to Section 425.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
 10 Plaintiffs Kially Anneth Vargas Carbajal, Mario Isaac Carranza Carbajal, and Kiara Yaretzi
 11 Barbosa Carbajal suffered severe emotional distress after witnessing their siblings become
 12 catastrophically injured in the incident.

13 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

14 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, individually and as Successor
 15 in Interest to THE ESTATE OF OBED L. BARBOSA CARBAJAL, KIALLY A. VARGAS
 16 CARBAJAL, an individual, MARIO I. CARRANZA CARBAJAL, a minor by and through his
 17 Guardian ad Litem, ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, KIARA Y. BARBOSA CARBAJAL, a
 18 minor by and through her Guardian ad Litem, ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, for causes of
 19 action against Defendant CITY OF RIVERSIDE, ANDRES LARIS and DOES 1 through 50,
 20 inclusive, as follows:

- 21 1. For general damages suffered by Plaintiff Ana E. Carbajal Garcia, including, but
 22 not limited to, loss of love, affection, care, society, service, comfort, support, right to support,
 23 companionship, solace or moral support, expectations of future support and counseling, other
 24 benefits and assistance of their respective Decedent, which will be stated according to proof, and
 25 beyond the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, and in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court
 26 and which will be established according to proof at trial;
- 27 2. For Plaintiffs KIALLY A. VARGAS CARBAJAL, an individual, MARIO I.
 28 CARRANZA CARBAJAL, a minor by and through his Guardian ad Litem, ANA E. CARBAJAL

1 GARCIA, KIARA Y. BARBOSA CARBAJAL, a minor by and through her Guardian ad Litem,
2 ANA E. CARBAJAL GARCIA, including, but not limited to, general damages in the form of past
3 and future pain and suffering and disfigurement, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional
4 minimum, according to proof;

5 3. For hospital, medical, professional and incidental expenses, according to proof;

6 4. For economic damages related to loss of earnings and earning capacity;

7 5. Severe emotional distress, both past and future;

8 6. For Plaintiff ANA ESTHER CARBAJAL GARCIA, as successor-in-interest to
9 Decedent OBED LIAM BARBOSA CARBAJAL, all damages lawfully available in a survival
10 action, including predeath pain and suffering, according to proof;

11 7. For economic damages suffered by Plaintiffs, including, but not limited to, for
12 funeral and burial expenses, according to proof;

13 8. For costs of suit incurred, including attorney fees herein;

14 9. For prejudgment interest, according to proof; and

15 10. For such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper

16 DATED: December 12, 2023

PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI LLP

17

18

By:

Erika Contreras
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

19

20

21

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

22

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all causes of action.

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED: December 12, 2023

PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI LLP

By:

Erika Contreras
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF ANA CARBAJAL

I, ANA CARBAJAL, in accordance with the provisions of Section 377.32 of the Code of Civil Procedure, declare and say that:

1. Decedent Obed Liam Barbosa Carbajal (DOB: April 22, 2015), died on May 16, 2023, in County of Riverside, California as a result of a motor vehicle collision.

2. Decedent Obed Liam Barbosa was my biological son.

3. No proceeding is now pending in California for the administration of Decedent’s estate.

4. This declarant, as the mother of the decedent, is the decedent’s successor in interest as defined in Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.11, and succeed to the decedent’s interests in all respects. No other persons have a superior right to commence the action or proceeding or to be substituted for the decedent in the pending action.

5. Attached as Exhibit “1” to this declaration is a true and correct certified copy of Decedent Obed Liam Barbosa Carbajal's death certificate.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed December 05, 2023, at Riverside, California.



ANA CARBAJAL, Declarant

EXHIBIT 1

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA
CERTIFICATE OF DEATH

3052023117028

3202333007382

1. NAME OF DECEASED (Last, First, Middle Initial) ORIO D		2. SEX M		3. LAST NAME BARRIOSA CARBAJAL	
4. DATE OF BIRTH (MM/DD/YYYY) 04/22/1915		5. AGE (Years, Months, Days) 8		6. HEIGHT (Feet, Inches) 5 11	
7. STATE OF BIRTH CA		8. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 777-35-8485		9. MARRITAL STATUS NEVER MARRIED	
10. DATE OF DEATH (MM/DD/YYYY) 05/16/2023		11. TIME OF DEATH 07:28		12. PLACE OF DEATH HOME	
13. RACE H		14. ETHNICITY MEXICAN		15. OCCUPATION MEXICAN	
16. CHILD CHILD		17. DECEASED'S RESIDENCE (Street, City, State, ZIP+4) 4301 LA SIERRA AVE APT #50 RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE 92505		18. DECEASED'S COUNTY CA	
19. DECEASED'S RELATIONSHIP TO REPORTER ANA ESTHER CARBAJAL GARCIA, MOTHER		20. REPORTER'S RESIDENCE (Street, City, State, ZIP+4) 4301 LA SIERRA AVE APT #50, RIVERSIDE, CA 92505		21. REPORTER'S COUNTY CA	
22. REPORTER'S NAME ANA		23. REPORTER'S RELATIONSHIP TO DECEASED ESTHER		24. REPORTER'S SIGNATURE CARBAJAL	
25. DECEASED'S DATE OF BIRTH 05/30/2023		26. DECEASED'S RESIDENCE (Street, City, State, ZIP+4) 4301 LA SIERRA AVE APT #50, RIVERSIDE, CA 92505		27. DECEASED'S COUNTY CA	
28. DECEASED'S CREMATION/RESIDENCE RIVERSIDE MORTUARY		29. DECEASED'S FUNERAL HOME FD913		30. DECEASED'S SIGNATURE GEOFFREY LEUNG, M.D., ED. M.P.H.	
31. PLACE OF DEATH RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL		32. PLACE OF DEATH (Street, City, State, ZIP+4) 4445 MAGNOLIA AVE RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE		33. PLACE OF DEATH COUNTY CA	
34. CAUSE OF DEATH MULTIPLE TRAUMATIC INJURIES		35. MANNER OF DEATH MINS		36. DATE OF DEATH 05/16/2023	
37. TIME OF DEATH NONE		38. TIME OF DEATH 07:28		39. TIME OF DEATH 07:28	
40. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		41. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		42. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
43. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		44. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		45. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
46. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		47. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		48. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
49. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		50. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		51. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
52. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		53. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		54. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
55. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		56. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		57. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
58. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		59. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		60. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
61. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		62. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		63. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
64. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		65. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		66. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
67. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		68. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		69. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
70. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		71. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		72. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
73. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		74. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		75. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
76. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		77. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		78. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
79. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		80. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		81. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
82. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		83. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		84. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
85. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		86. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		87. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
88. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		89. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		90. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
91. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		92. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		93. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
94. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		95. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		96. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
97. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		98. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		99. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	
100. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		101. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE		102. DECEASED'S OCCUPATION NONE	

CERTIFIED COPY OF VITAL RECORD

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

This is a true and exact reproduction of the document lawfully required and
issued on file by the Riverside University Health System
Department of Public Health

DATE ISSUED **Jun 2, 2023**

This copy is not valid unless prepared on an approved form, displaying the date, seal and signature of the Registrar



ANY ALTERATION OR ERASURE VOID THIS CERTIFICATE