1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 PANISH SHEA BOYLE RAVIPUDI LLP BRIAN J. PANISH, State Bar No. 116060 panish@psbr.law JESSE CREED, State Bar No. 272595 jcreed@psbr.law 11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: 310.477.1700 Facsimile: 310.477.1699 THE BRESSLER FIRM, LLC Michael A. Bressler, Esq., (To Be Admitted Pro michael_bressler@bresslerfirm.com 77 W Wacker Drive, Suite 4500 	Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 9/27/2023 2:34 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By M. Elder, Deputy Clerk				
8 9 10 11 12 13	Chicago, Illinois 60657 Attorneys for Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, BEVERLY HILLS COURTHOUSE					
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	DONNA MOTSINGER, Plaintiff, v. WILLIAM COSBY, JR., an individual; JEMMIN, INC., a California corporation; MCA, INC., a dissolved corporation of unknown State of formation; and CIRCLE STAR THEATER, CORP., a dissolved Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-20., Defendants.	Case No. 238MCV04562 COMPLAINT 1. SEXUAL BATTERY 2. NEGLIGENCE 3. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 4. FALSE IMPRISONMENT				
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 		Action Filed: Trial Date:				
	COMPLAINT					

PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI

psbrlaw.com

Plaintiff Donna Motsinger, by and through her attorneys, brings this action and alleges as
follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

4 1. Plaintiff Donna Motsinger was drugged and raped by serial sexual abuser
5 Defendant William "Bill" Cosby, Jr.

6 2. In 1972, Ms. Motsinger was working as a server at The Trident, a renowned
7 restaurant located in Sausalito, California.

8 3. In that era, Defendant Cosby regularly visited The Trident, as did many other
9 celebrities.

4. 10 During one particular week when Ms. Motsinger was working, Defendant Cosby came in every day. He hung out to talk to Ms. Motsinger. One time Defendant Cosby asked her if 11 he could call her son and called her son at the payphone inside the restaurant. Defendant Cosby 12 13 did this to lure and groom Ms. Motsinger for his future assault. Everybody was fawning over him 14 due to his international celebrity and acclaim. Ms. Motsinger had served him at his table. When 15 Ms. Motsinger was returning to her home in Mill Valley, Mr. Cosby followed her and pulled up 16 next to her. Mr. Cosby asked Ms. Motsinger if she would go with Mr. Cosby to a show he 17 performed at the Circle Star Theater in San Carlos, California. Ms. Motsinger agreed, and Mr. 18 Cosby said he would pick Ms. Motsinger up later.

19 5. Upon information and belief, at that performance, Mr. Cosby was recording his
20 stand up act for *Inside the Mind of Bill Cosby* that was produced by MCA Records.

6. Mr. Cosby picked Ms. Motsinger up in a limousine from her house and drove her to
the Circle Star Theater. Mr. Cosby gave Ms. Motsinger a glass of wine in the limo along the way.
At the Circle Star Theater, Mr. Cosby took Ms. Motsinger to the dressing room there. She began
to feel sick and Mr. Cosby gave her what she believed was an aspirin. Next thing she knew, she
was going in and out of consciousness while two men attending to Mr. Cosby were putting her in
the limousine with Mr. Cosby. In the limousine, Mr. Cosby sat near the window and put his arms
around her. The last thing Ms. Motsinger recalls were flashes of light. She woke up in her house

28

psbrlaw.com

3

1 with all her clothes off, except her underwear on – no top, no bra, and no pants. She knew she had
2 been drugged and raped by Bill Cosby.

7. For decades, defendant Cosby engaged in the serial sexual assault of dozens of
women for his sexual gratification by drugging women and using unknown substances to
incapacitate them.

8. Defendant Cosby used his enormous wealth, power, fame, and prestige, giving
7 women like Ms. Motsinger access to a world of celebrities and entertainers.

8 9. In a deposition filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant Cosby
9 admitted to obtaining drugs to use on women with whom he wanted to engage in sex.

10 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jemmin, Inc. was the production company
11 through which Mr. Cosby worked at all relevant times. Upon information and belief, Mr. Cosby
12 was and remains the chief executive officer of Jemmin, Inc. Upon information and belief, Mr.
13 Cosby was acting in the course and scope of his employment with Jemmin, Inc. at the time of the
14 sexual assault.

15 11. At this Cosby production at the Circle Star Theater, Defendant MCA, Inc. financed,
produced, recorded, manufactured, and distributed the album of stand-up comedy by Bill Cosby
called *Inside the Mind of Bill Cosby*. This album recorded a live stand-up comedy show
performed by Bill Cosby at Defendant Circle Star Theater. At all relevant times, Defendant MCA,
Inc. had the power to control the actions and conduct of Bill Cosby at all relevant times during this
performance.

12. At all relevant times, the Circle Star Theater Corp. had the power to control the
activities backstage where Ms. Motsinger was drugged by Mr. Cosby and had the power to ensure
the safety of guests and invitees against the sexual assault at the hands of its performers. In
addition, upon information and belief, the driver of the limousine and the security detail of Bill
Cosby, each of whom facilitated and were aware of Mr. Cosby's sexual propensities, were paid for
by and employees, agents, or servants of Defendants Jemmin, Inc., Circle Star Theater Corp.,
and/or MCA, Inc. at the time of the sexual assault.

28

PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI

	1	13. Jemmin, Inc., by and through Mr. Cosby himself, and Bill Cosby engaged in a			
	2	cover up or attempted a cover up of all instances of sexual assault at the hands of Bill Cosby. Mr.			
	3	Cosby had a repeated pattern and practice of providing women he intended to sexual assault with			
	4	quaaludes and/or other amnesia-inducing sedatives in order to cause them to lose consciousness or			
	5	erase their memory of the assault. Mr. Cosby's use of quaaludes and/or other amnesia-inducing			
	6	sedatives prevented information relating to a sexual assault from becoming public or being			
	7	disclosed to the plaintiff. Upon information and belief, the driver of Mr. Cosby's limousine and			
	8	his security detail had or should have had notice of Mr. Cosby's cover up attempts and facilitated			
	9	the cover ups by failing to report Mr. Cosby's prior assaults.			
	10	14. All claims brought against all parties by Ms. Motsinger are revived under			
	11	California Code of Civil Procedure § 340.16(e).			
	12	PARTIES			
ш	13	15. Plaintiffs Donna Motsinger is a New Mexico citizen.			
psbrlaw.com	14	16. Defendant William Cosby, Jr. is a Pennsylvania citizen.			
	15	17. Defendant MCA, Inc. is a dissolved corporation of an unknown State whose			
	16	beneficial ownership, debts and obligations were transferred ultimately to Universal Studios, Inc.			
	17	At all relevant times, MCA, Inc. was headquartered in California and was therefore a citizen of			
	18	California.			
	19	18. Defendant Jemmin, Inc. is a California corporation and therefore a citizen of			
	20	California.			
	21	19. Defendant Circle Star Theater Corp. is a dissolved Delaware corporation that was			
	22	headquartered in San Carlos, California when it operated and continues to be headquartered for			
	23	purposes of winding up its business and affairs in San Carlos, California. Defendant Circle Star			
	24	Theater Corp. is a California citizen.			
	25	20. The true names and capacities, whether individual, plural, corporate, partnership,			
	26	associate, or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore			
	27	sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. The full extent of the facts linking such fictitiously			
	28	sued Defendants is unknown to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges,			

4 COMPLAINT that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE was, and is, negligent, or in some other
actionable manner, responsible for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, and thereby
negligently, or in some other actionable manner, legally and proximately caused the hereinafter
described injuries and damages to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will hereafter seek leave of the Court to
amend this Complaint to show the Defendants' true names and capacities after the same have been
ascertained.

21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, including DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and each of them, were agents, servants, employees, successors in interest, and/or joint venturers of their co-Defendants, and were, as such, acting within the course, scope, and authority of said agency, employment, and/or venture, and that each and every Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring of each and every other defendant as an agent, servant, employee, successor in interest, and/or joint ventures.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Sexual Battery Against Defendant Bill Cosby and Jemmin, Inc.)

16 22. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation
17 and statement contained in the prior paragraphs.

18 23. Defendant Bill Cosby sexually touched Plaintiff Donna Motsinger with the intent to
19 harm or offend her.

20 24. Plaintiff Donna Motsinger did not consent to the touching. Mr. Cosby had sedated
21 and drugged Ms. Motsinger to the point of unconsciousness, rendering her incapable and
22 incompetent to consent to any touching.

23 25. Plaintiff Donna Motsinger was harmed and offended by Mr. Cosby's sexual
24 battery.

25 26. A reasonable person in Ms. Motsinger's situation would have been offended by the
26 touching.

27 27. As a legal, direct and proximate result of Ms. Motsinger's sexual battery at the
28 hands of Defendant Bill Cosby, Ms. Motsinger suffered economic and noneconomic damages to

the maximum extent allowed by law, including but not limited to the lost wages, medical bills,
 pain and suffering, emotional distress, and any other remedy available at law.

3 28. Jemmin, Inc. is vicariously liable for Mr. Cosby's sexual battery as Jemmin, Inc.
4 authorized and ratified the sexual battery through Mr. Cosby himself. Mr. Cosby was the Chief
5 Executive Officer of Jemmin, Inc. and therefore had the authority to authorize and did in fact
6 authorize his own conduct on behalf of Jemmin, Inc.

Mr. Cosby is guilty of fraud, oppression, and malice for his sexual battery of Ms.
Motsinger. Mr. Cosby intended to cause Ms. Motsinger injury and engaged in despicable conduct
- i.e. the drugging of a woman to the point of unconsciousness in order to rape her – which was
carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of Ms. Motsinger. Mr.
Cosby concealed from Ms. Motsinger that he had slipped drugs into the drinks Mr. Cosby gave
her with the intention of causing the sexual battery. As a result of such fraud, oppression, and
fraud, Ms. Motsinger is entitled to punitive damages under Civil Code § 3294.

30. Jemmin, Inc. is guilty of fraud, oppression, and malice for the sexual battery of Ms.
Motsinger at the hands of Cosby. Jemmin, Inc., through Mr. Cosby, authorized and ratified the
sexual battery of Mr. Cosby, as Mr. Cosby was the sole shareholder and chief executive officer of
the company at all relevant times and therefore the act of oppression, fraud, or malice was on the
part of an officer, director and managing agent of Jemmin, Inc.

19

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

20 || (Negligence Against Defendants Jemmin, Inc., MCA Inc., and Circle Star Theater Corp.)

21 31. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation
22 and statement contained in the prior paragraphs.

32. Jemmin, Inc. had a special relationship with its chief executive officer, sole
shareholder, director, and key employee Defendant Bill Cosby to protect against the sexual assault
of third party Ms. Motsinger. Jemmin, Inc. was the corporation that furnished the services of Bill
Cosby at all relevant times as a recording entertainer, owned copyrights and collected royalties on
the exploitation of those recordings. Defendant Bill Cosby was the chief executive officer and a
director of the board of directors of Jemmin, Inc. Therefore, Jemmin, Inc. had a duty to take

osbrlaw.com

6 COMPLAINT psbrlaw.com

reasonable steps to control the conduct of Defendant Bill Cosby and prevent sexual assaults of
 third parties at the hand of Defendant Bill Cosby, particularly in the context of entertainment
 services Mr. Cosby rendered on the night of Ms. Motsinger's sexual assault. The foreseeability
 that Mr. Cosby would drug and rape women in connection with performances he did was directly
 known to Jemmin, Inc.

6

33. Jemmin, Inc. breached the duty as follows:

a. Bill Cosby, as CEO of Jemmin, Inc., chose not to restrain himself in
drugging, sedating, and raping Ms. Motsinger;

9 b. Jemmin, Inc. chose not to establish and/or enforce a code of conduct to
10 prohibit unconsenting sexual contact between Mr. Cosby and any guest;

11c.Jemmin, Inc. chose not to bar Mr. Cosby from entertaining woman without12a chaperone present to prevent his improper contact and the potential for coercion;

d. Jemmin, Inc. chose not to establish a code of conduct prohibiting the
possession or use of hardcore sedatives posing a foreseeable risk of sexual assault at the venue;
e. Jemmin, Inc.'s employees chose not to report known propensities of Mr.

16 Cosby to drug and assault unknown women.

17 34. Circle Star Theater Corp. owned, operated, maintained, possessed, and had full 18 custody and control of the Circle Star Theater in San Carlos, CA where Ms. Motsinger was 19 drugged and sedated. At the theater, Ms. Motsinger was so drugged and sedated that she was 20 going in and out of consciousness by the time she left in Mr. Cosby's limousine. Employees and 21 agents of Circle Star Theater knew or should have known of the dangerous drugged and sedated 22 state that Ms. Motsinger was in and should have rendered care and aid to Ms. Motsinger. Because 23 of Ms. Cosby's fame, Circle Star Theater chose not to intervene to render aid to Ms. Motsinger and report that she had been drugged and sedated, thereby preventing information relating to her 24 25 sexual assault from becoming public or being disclosed to the plaintiff.

26 35. Circle Star Theater Corp.'s duty included the duty to act with reasonable care and
27 provide protection to its patrons from injury at the hands of its performers, including Bill Cosby.
28 *Delgado v. Trax Bar & Grill*, 36 Cal. 4th 224 (2005).

1

36. Circle Star Theater Corp. breached the duty in the following ways:

a. Circle Star Theater chose not to establish and/or enforce a code of conduct
to prevent the drugging and sedation of guests by its performers;

b. Circle Star Theater chose not to conduct security checks of all persons,
including performers like Mr. Cosby, to ensure they were not bringing hardcore drugs and/or
sedatives into the venue that pose a risk of sexual assault or rape;

c. Circle Star chose not to render aid to Ms. Motsinger at the time she was
Circle Star's invitee and was unconscious at its venue, which would have been known to
employees and agents of Circle Star;

 10
 d. Circle Star chose not to intervene to protect Ms. Motsinger while she was

 11
 unconscious against Mr. Cosby.

12 37. MCA, Inc. sponsored, financed, produced, and recorded the stand up comedy show 13 at the Circle Star Theater where Mr. Cosby was performing when he began his sexual assault of 14 Ms. Motsinger. MCA, Inc. had a special relationship with Mr. Cosby as its special agent in his 15 role as a performing artist and Ms. Motsinger as a guest backstage where MCA, Inc. had exclusive 16 control over entry and access and therefore the premises there. In this position, MCA, Inc. had a 17 duty to take reasonable steps to control the conduct of Defendant Bill Cosby and prevent sexual 18 assaults of third parties at the hand of Defendant Bill Cosby at the Circle Star Theater that night. 19 38. MCA, Inc. breached the duty in the following ways:

a. MCA, Inc. chose not to establish and/or enforce a code of conduct to
prevent the drugging and sedation of guests accompanying performers backstage where MCA, Inc.
had control;

b. MCA, Inc. chose not to have a chaperone present to accompany its
performer Bill Cosby to observe, monitor, and supervise his conduct;

c. Alternatively, MCA, Inc. chose to provide a security detail and/or limousine
driver for Mr. Cosby that saw the unconscious state of Ms. Motsinger and failed to intervene or
render aid to her.

28

psbrlaw.com

1	39.	As a legal, direct and proximate result of the Entity Defendants' negligence, Ms.			
2	Motsinger suffered economic and noneconomic damages to the maximum extent allowed by law,				
3	including but not limited to the lost wages, medical bills, pain and suffering, emotional distress,				
4	and any other remedy available at law.				
5		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION			
6	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Against Defendants William Cosby and				
7	Jemmin, Inc.)				
8	40.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation			
9	and statement contained in the prior paragraphs.				
10	41.	Defendant William Cosby's conduct against Plaintiff Donna Motsinger was			
11	outrageous.				
12	42.	Defendant William Cosby intended to cause or acted with reckless disregard of the			
13	probability that Ms. Motsinger would suffer emotional distress.				
14	43.	Ms. Motsinger suffered severe emotional distress as a result of Mr. Cosby's			
15	conduct.				
16	44.	Mr. Cosby's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Ms. Motsinger's severe			
17	emotional distress.				
18	45.	As a legal, direct and proximate result of Mr. Cosby's conduct, Ms. Motsinger			
19	suffered economic and noneconomic damages to the maximum extent allowed by law, including				
20	but not limited to the lost wages, medical bills, pain and suffering, emotional distress, and any				
21	other remedy available at law.				
22	46.	Jemmin, Inc. is vicariously liable for Mr. Cosby's outrageous conduct as Jemmin,			
23	Inc. authorized and ratified the conduct through Mr. Cosby himself. Mr. Cosby was the Chief				
24	Executive Officer of Jemmin, Inc. and therefore had the authority to authorize and did in fact				
25	authorize his own conduct on behalf of Jemmin, Inc.				
26		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
27	(False Imprisonment Against Defendants William Cosby and Jemmin, Inc.)				
28					
		9			
	COMPLAINT				

psbrlaw.com

- 47. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation
 and statement contained in the prior paragraphs.
- 3 48. Defendant William Cosby intentionally deprived Plaintiff Donna Motsinger of her
 4 freedom of movement by use of fraud and deceit by fraudulently and deceitfully drugging and
 5 sedating her without her knowledge or consent to the point of unconsciousness.
- 6 49. Such restraint, confinement, and detention compelled Plaintiff Donna Motsinger to
 7 stay within Mr. Cosby's unfettered control and within his boundaries;
- 8 50. Plaintiff Donna Motsinger did not knowingly or voluntarily consent to being placed
 9 in such confinement;
 - 51. Plaintiff Donna Motsinger was actually harmed as a result of such confinement;
 - 52. Mr. Cosby's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Ms. Motsinger's harm.
- 12 53. As a legal, direct and proximate result of Mr. Cosby's conduct, Ms. Motsinger
 13 suffered economic and noneconomic damages to the maximum extent allowed by law, including
 14 but not limited to the lost wages, medical bills, pain and suffering, emotional distress, and any
 15 other remedy available at law.
- 16 54. Jemmin, Inc. is vicariously liable for Mr. Cosby's outrageous conduct as Jemmin,
 17 Inc. authorized and ratified the conduct through Mr. Cosby himself. Mr. Cosby was the Chief
 18 Executive Officer of Jemmin, Inc. and therefore had the authority to authorize and did in fact
 19 authorize his own conduct on behalf of Jemmin, Inc.
 - PRAYER FOR DAMAGES
- 22 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Donna Motsinger prays for relief against DEFENDANTS as23 follows:
- For general damages (also known as non-economic damages), including but not
 limited to, past and future past and future pain and suffering for the physical, mental and
 emotional distress damages suffered by Plaintiff, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional
 minimum, according to proof against all Defendants.
- 28

20

21

- 2. For special damages (also known as economic damages), including but not limited
 - 10 COMPLAINT

osbrlaw.com

10

11

	1	to past and future hospital, medical, professional, and incidental expenses as well as past and					
	2	future loss of earnings, loss of opportunity, and loss of earning capacity, in excess of the					
psbrlaw.com	3	jurisdictional minimum, according to proof against all Defendants.					
	4	3. For prejudgment and postjudgment interest, according to proof;					
	5	4. For damages for o	ther economic losses, according to proof;				
	6	5. For punitive dama	ges;				
	7	7 6. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and prop					
	8						
	9						
	10	DATED: September 27, 2023	PANISH SHEA BOYLE RAVIPUDI LLP				
	11						
	12		By: Jesseraferel				
	13		Brian I. Panish Jesse Creed				
	14		Attorneys for Plaintiff				
	15						
	16						
	17						
	18						
	19						
	20						
	21						
	22						
	23						
	24						
	25 26						
	20						
	28						
	20						
			11 COMPLAINT				

PANISH | SHEA | BOYLE | RAVIPUDI