Ç
~.J
~.
V^{\prime}
"Liz
~ _! ~
$ \gamma_{p_p}\rangle$
ÇΡ
þur tuj.
200 .00

	Brian Panish
-	Rahul Ravipudi
	Panish, Shea & Boyle LLP
	11111 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste 700
İ	Los Angeles, CA 90025
	Tel.: (310) 477-1700
	panish@psblaw.com
	ravipudi@psblaw.com
	Robert Nelson
	Lexi Hazam
	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP
	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
	Tel: (415) 956-1000
	rnelson@lchb.com
	lhazam@lchb.com
	Lord Connect Co. Individual Distriction dull be a control of

Lead Counsel for Individual Plaintiffs shall be members of, as well as authorize and direct the work of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee for cases involving Individual Plaintiffs.

Individual Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel shall also be responsible for coordinating the activities of the Direct Action Plaintiffs during pretrial proceedings, and in consultation with the Court and with the assistance of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee, shall have the following duties and responsibilities. Counsel for any Individual Plaintiff who objects to Lead Counsel's execution of these duties and responsibilities preserves their right to raise their objections with the Court.

- Appear before the Court and present the position of Individual
 Plaintiffs at all Case Management Conferences, Status Conferences,
 or other court ordered hearings;
- b. Direct and coordinate the briefing and argument of all motions directed at or brought by Direct Action Plaintiffs generally;
- c. Direct and coordinate the filing of opposing briefs and argue motions in proceedings initiated by other parties against Direct Action Plaintiffs' interests (except as to matters directed to specific individual plaintiffs and their counsel or a specific Plaintiff group);
- d. Initiate and coordinate all discovery proceedings on behalf of Direct Action Plaintiffs, including propounded general liability written

1583293.15

1		discovery, document production discovery and the taking of oral
2		depositions; ¹
3	e.	Coordinate all aspects of the Class Litigation; ²
4	f.	Coordinate the bellwether process for Individual Plaintiffs subject
5		to the Court's guidance;
5	g.	Coordinate the initiation of, and conduct discovery on behalf of
7		Direct Action Plaintiffs consistent with the requirements of the
8		California Code of Civil Procedure and Rules of Court relating to
9		discovery or any subsequent order of this Court;
О	h.	Assign work for the investigation and discovery of common
1		liability and damages matters for all Direct Action Plaintiffs'
2		counsel, and delegate specific tasks to other Direct Action
3		Plaintiffs' counsel, in a manner to ensure that pretrial preparation
4		for Individual Plaintiffs is conducted effectively, efficiently and
5		economically;
6	i.	Enter into stipulations, on behalf of Direct Action Plaintiffs, with
7		opposing counsel as necessary for the conduct of the litigation;
8	j.	Prepare and distribute to other Individual Plaintiffs' counsel
9		periodic status reports;
0	k.	Perform such other duties as may be necessary to the representation
1		of Individual Plaintiffs, proper coordination of Individual Plaintiffs'
2		activities or authorized by further Order of the Court; and
3	l.	Submit, if appropriate, additional Individual Plaintiffs' committees
4		and counsel for designation by the Court.
5		
6		– by Defendants directed to specific individual Plaintiffs shall be handled by the

attorney for those specific individuals. ² With respect to litigation of the Class Action, the parties stipulate that the discovery specific to the class and motion

for class certification deadlines are stayed, and the stay will be lifted only upon an Order of the Court.

27

28

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

2. Individual Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel.

Individual Plaintiffs have requested that the court appoint the following lawyers as Individual Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel. The Court hereby appoints the following lawyers as Individual Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel:

Lyssa A. Roberts
Panish, Shea & Boyle LLP
11111 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90025
Tel.: (310) 477-1700
roberts@psblaw.com

Walter Lack Greg Waters Engstrom, Lipscomb & Lack 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90069 Tel: (310) 552-3800 gwaters@elllaw.com

Individual Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall be members of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee and shall have the following responsibilities:

- a. Upon the designation of Individual Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, appear before the Court and present the position of Individual Plaintiffs at all Case Management Conferences, Status Conferences, or other court ordered hearings;
- To make available to the Court, to counsel for Individual Plaintiffs,
 and to counsel for Defendants an up-to-date comprehensive Service
 List of all Individual Plaintiffs' counsel (including the date of the most recent revision);
- c. To receive and distribute to Individual Plaintiffs' counsel as appropriate, orders, notices and correspondence from the Court;
- d. To maintain and make available to other Individual Plaintiffs, on reasonable notice and at reasonable times, a complete set of all filed pleadings and orders filed and/or served in these coordinated

proceedings; and

e.

To coordinate the filing of notices and papers by any Individual

Plaintiff, including the designation of responsibilities to encourage

1

2

3

CASE NO. JCCP NO. 4965

Mark Robinson
Shannon Lukei
Lila Razmara
Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.
19 Corporate Plaza Drive
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Tel.: (949) 720-1288
mrobinson@rcrlaw.net
slukei@rcrlaw.net
lrazmara@rcrlaw.net

Michael A. Kelly Khaldoun Baghdadi Walkup, Melodia, Kelly & Schoenberger 650 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 Tel.: (415) 981-7210 MKelly@WalkupLawOffice.com KBaghdadi@WalkupLawOffice.com

Dave Fox
Elliot Adler
Christopher Sieglock
Wildfire Legal Group
225 West Plaza Street, Suite 102
Solana Beach, CA 92075
Tel.: (858) 256-7616
Dave@foxlawapc.com
elliotadler@gmail.com
chris@sieglocklaw.com

Alexander Robertson
Joe Liebman
Robertson & Associates
32121 Lindero Canyon Road #200
Westlake Village, CA 91361
Tel.: (818) 851-3850
arobertson@arobertsonlaw.com
jliebmanlaw@gmail.com

Amanda L. Riddle
Steven M. Berki
Corey, Luzaich, Ghetaldi & Riddle LLP
700 El Camino Real
Millbrae, CA 94030
Tel.: (650) 871-5666
alr@coreylaw.com
smb@coreylaw.com

1583293.15

CO

1583293.15

1		Bill Robins	
2		Robins Cloud LLP	
4		808 Wilshire Blvd. #450	
3		Santa Monica, CA 90401 Tel.: (310) 929-4200	
4		robins@robinscloud.com	
5		Alan R. Templeman	
6		Brett C. Templeman John H. Howard	
7		Lowthorp, Richards, McMillan, Miller &	
/		Templeman	
8		300 E. Esplanade Drive, Suite 850	
9		Oxnard, CA 93036 Tel.: (805) 804-3848	
		atempleman@lrmmt.com	
0		btempleman@lrmmt.com	
1		jhoward@lrmmt.com	
2	The Individual Plaintiffs' Executive Committee shall have the following responsibilities		
3	with respect to matters of co	ommon concern to all Individual Plaintiffs:	
.4	a.	Coordination of Individual Plaintiffs' pretrial activities and work	
5		performed by the Individual Plaintiffs' lead counsel and liaison	
.6		counsel;	
.7	b.	Calling meetings of Individual Plaintiffs' counsel when appropriate	
.8		and to consult with Individual Plaintiffs' counsel on matters of	
9		common concern;	
20	c.	Designating additional Individual Plaintiffs' subcommittees to	
21		perform services on behalf of Individual Plaintiffs and designate	
22		additional Individual Plaintiffs' counsel to serve on such	
23		subcommittees; and	
24	d.	When appropriate, chairing and organizing Individual Plaintiffs'	
25		subcommittees as necessary to address specific issues of concern to	
26		claims of Individual Plaintiffs, Subrogation Plaintiffs, Government	
27		Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs.	

B. Public Entity Plaintiffs

The Public Entity Plaintiffs have requested that the court designate the following lawyers as their Lead Counsel. The Court hereby appoints the following lawyers as Lead Counsel for these Public Entity Plaintiffs:

Scott Summy
Baron & Budd
3102 Oak Lawn Ave. #110
Dallas, TX 75219
Tel: (214) 521-3605
SSummy@baronbudd.com

John Fiske Baron & Budd 603 N. Coast Highway G Solana Beach, CA 92075 Tel.: (858) 225-7200 JFiske@baronbudd.com

Public Entities' Lead Counsel shall be responsible for propounding discovery, responding to discovery, briefing, and argument of issues that are specific to the Public Entity cases. Public Entities' Lead Counsel shall maintain a current listing of all filed Public Entity cases and identify the same for the Executive Plaintiffs Committee, Defendants and the Court. Counsel in any Public Entity cases shall cooperate with the Lead Counsel for Individual Plaintiffs, Public Entities' Lead Counsel and the Court in the production of information necessary to prepare for any status conference or in the scheduling of any discovery, or hearing.

C. Subrogation Plaintiffs

The Subrogation Plaintiffs have requested, and the Court hereby appoints, the following lawyers as Lead Counsel and Liaison Counsel for the Subrogation Plaintiffs. These lawyers shall serve as the members of the Executive Committee for Subrogation Plaintiffs, and said counsel shall have the same duties/responsibilities within/to the Subrogation Plaintiffs group as the lawyers serving as Lead Counsel and Liaison Counsel and the Executive Committee for the Individual Plaintiffs shall have with respect to the Individual Plaintiffs group:

2.5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. <u>Lead Counsel for Subrogation Plaintiffs.</u>³

Shawn Caine
The Law Offices of Shawn E. Caine
1221 Camino Del Mar
Del Mar, CA 92014
Tel: (619) 838-1365
scaine@cainelaw.com

Mark Grotefeld Grotefeld Hoffmann Shepard Mountain Plaza 6034 West Courtyard Drive, Suite 200 Austin, TX 78730 Tel: (737) 226-5310 mgrotefeld@ghlaw-llp.com

Howard Maycon Cozen O'Connor 601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 892-7900 hmaycon@cozen.com

Maura Walsh Ochoa Grotefeld Hoffmann 700 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 280 Larkspur, California 94939 Tel: (415) 344-9670 mochoa@ghlaw-llp.com

Waylon Pickett Grotefeld Hoffmann 0324 SW Abernethy Street Portland, OR 97239 Tel.: (502) 384-2772 wpickett@ghlaw-llp.com

Craig Simon
Berger Kahn, A Law Corporation
1 Park Plaza, Suite 340
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel: (949) 748-4444
csimon@bergerkahn.com

Lead Counsel for Subrogation Plaintiffs shall be responsible for discovery, briefing, and argument of issues that are specific to the Subrogation cases. Lead Counsel for the Subrogation Plaintiffs shall maintain a current listing of all filed subrogation cases and identify same for Lead Counsel for Individual Plaintiffs, Defendants and the Court. Counsel in any Subrogation cases

The rights and obligations of Lead Counsel for Subrogation Plaintiffs mirrors the rights and obligations of Lead Counsel for Individual Plaintiffs.

Defendants Southern California Edison Company and Edison International (collectively,

1

2

3

D.

Defendants

"SCE") are represented as follows:

Defendant City of San Buenaventura is represented as follows:

Thomas M. Madruga Edward B. Kang Olivarez Madruga Lemieux O'Neill, LLP 500 S. Grand Ave., 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel.: (213) 744-0099 tmadruga@omlolaw.com ekang@omlolaw.com

II. ADDITIONAL PARTIES

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court orders the following system for a Plaintiff to join this litigation:

Master Pleadings A.

A Master Complaint for Individual Plaintiffs, Master Complaint for Public Entity Plaintiffs and a Master Complaint for Subrogation Plaintiffs shall be lodged with the Court. Corresponding Master Answers for Defendants in response to each of these Master Complaints shall govern the pleadings for those actions.⁴

All Plaintiffs, including Subrogation Plaintiffs, have entered into a tolling agreement to November 6, 2018 with Montecito Water District. Plaintiffs who desire to proceed with government tort claims against Montecito Water District must file those claims by November 12. Thereafter, Montecito Water District will file its responsive pleadings December 5, opposition due January 4, 2019, and reply due January 21, 2019.

В. Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint and Master Answer

The parties are ordered to meet and confer regarding the Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint, and Notice of Potential Add-On Cases and Request for Coordination. Plaintiffs will provide Defendants the applicable documents for review and submission to the Court.

The Master Complaints shall be filed no later than July 12, 2018.

If Defendants do not file a challenge to the Master Complaints, the Master Answers shall be filed on or before the date Defendants' challenge to the Master Complaints would have been

⁴ Subsections A, B and C will not apply to the class cases. Instead, the Code of Civil Procedure shall apply. Furthermore, all class cases are stayed pending further order of the Court (see Section XI).

due as referenced in Section III below. If Defendants file a challenge to the Master Complaints, the Master Answers shall be filed no later than seven (7) days after the Court's ruling on any challenge(s).

The Master Complaints and the Master Answers will not be verified.

1. Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint

Each Individual Plaintiff, Public Entity Plaintiff, or Subrogation Plaintiff with a case already on file in JCCP No. 4965 shall serve on their respective Lead Counsel a Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint within thirty (30) days of the date the Master Complaint is filed. The Notice of Adoption/Amendment shall contain the following information: (1) the name and address of each Plaintiff; (2) whether each Plaintiff was allegedly harmed by the Thomas Fire, Rye Fire, and/or Mudslides; (3) the causes of action each Plaintiff is joining and against which Defendant(s) they are pled; (4) the categories of damages allegedly incurred by each Plaintiff and for which that Plaintiff is seeking recovery and from which Defendant(s); and (5) the Plaintiff's relevant Superior Court case number.

For existing Individual Plaintiffs, Subrogation Plaintiffs, and Public Entity Plaintiffs, the filing of a Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint shall not require the payment of an additional filing fee or a new case number, unless the complex fee has not been paid. Each Notice of Adoption/Amendment shall constitute an amended complaint for all purposes. Upon filing the Notice of Adoption/Amendment, the Master Complaint, as amended by the Plaintiff's Notice of Adoption/Amendment, shall be the operative pleading. The date on which the Master Complaint is filed shall have no bearing on whether any Plaintiff has satisfied the applicable statute of limitations. Rather, the date on which an individual Plaintiff's properly-filed original complaint initiating his, her, or its action was filed shall be the operative date for statute of limitations purposes.

For cases naming more than one Plaintiff, each Plaintiff must file an individual Notice of Adoption/Amendment, except those naming a derivative Plaintiff (e.g., an heir asserting a wrongful death claim) or those naming members of a single household, or members/owners/partners of a single trust, property, or business, who need only file one Notice of 1583293.15

Ç

CO

Adoption/Amendment.

2. Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Answer

The Defendants' Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Answer shall be filed within thirty (30) days of the filing of Plaintiffs' Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint. All responses pled in SCE's Master Answer will be deemed pled in any previously filed Complaint and Responsive Pleading now pending in this JCCP proceeding, and in any Notice of Adoption/Amendment filed thereafter.

3. Cases to Be Filed

Plaintiffs who have not yet filed an action ("Future Cases") will initiate an action by Filing a Short Form Complaint and Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint, in a proper venue in California. Each new case filed shall name a single Plaintiff, except those naming a derivative Plaintiff (e.g., an heir asserting a wrongful death claim) or those naming members of a single household, or members/owners/partners of a single trust, property, or business, who may collectively file a single complaint. For Subrogation Plaintiffs, those insurers in a single family of a group of insurers may file a short form complaint/adoption together in one action. The filing of any future case in Los Angeles Superior Court shall not waive the rights of any party to move to transfer the action to another proper venue.

The Notice of Adoption/Amendment shall contain the following information as indicated above. For any Future Case filed, the Plaintiff must include a civil cover sheet identifying this JCCP (SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FIRE CASES, JCCP No. 4965).

C. Adding Cases Into These Coordinated Proceedings

Potential add-on cases will be allowed by stipulation of all parties or by petition of Defendants consistent with the procedures and requirements of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.544 and Code of Civil Procedure § 404.4.

The parties will apprise the Court of potential add-on cases pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.544. In addition to the procedures set forth in Rule 3.544, potential add-on cases may be added to these coordinated proceedings by submitting a stipulation and proposed order to add the case to the coordinated proceedings, signed by counsel for the parties to the potential add-1583293.15

on case, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, and counsel for all Defendants.

After entry of an order adding a case to the coordinated proceedings, the add-on Plaintiff(s) will file a Notice of Adoption/Amendment as set forth above. Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel will provide the Plaintiffs in each action added to these coordinated proceedings with access as appropriate to electronic or paper copies of pleadings, filings, orders, discovery, and other papers.

All procedures and orders approved by the Court will apply to later-joined actions.

D. Cross-Complaints

Defendants may or may not file cross-complaints as they choose. The Parties are ordered to meet and confer regarding the deadline by which cross complaints must be filed before a motion for good cause must be filed.

E. Guardian Ad Litem Applications

Plaintiffs will periodically provide the Court with a list of cases with pending Guardian ad litem applications through a folder created on CaseHomePage (an electronic case management system and hereinafter "CHP") to facilitate the Court's review of such applications. Parties are directed to call the Court to provide additional notice of such submissions for the first few weeks.

F. Master List of Cases

Counsel for SCE, Hueston Hennigan LLP, will maintain a master list of all filed cases that it will update regularly and provide to the Court and all parties through a folder on CHP. Counsel for SCE are directed to call the Court to provide notice of such submissions for the first few weeks.

III. RESOLUTION OF SIGNIFICANT ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY THE COURT

A. Inverse Condemnation

The schedule for demurrers addressing inverse condemnation is as follows:

- Any individual Defendant filing such a demurrer, which shall not exceed 25 pages, shall file it on or before August 3, 2018;
- Individual Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, the Subrogation Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and the Public Entities Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel shall file their respective oppositions, each of which shall not exceed 20 pages, no later than August 31, 2018;

mn.	
ري جي	
(م.	
ĻΉ	
74-	
T)	
"io	

Each of the individual Defendants may file a reply to any opposition, which shall not exceed 20 pages, no later than September 21, 2018.
The hearing on any challenge(s) to the Master Complaints will be held on October 4, 2018 at 1:45 pm.
The Parties are further ordered to meet and confer as to whether a demurrer would address the potential liability of Montecito Water District through the doctrine of inverse condemnation, specifically determining whether or not this question can be answered by a demurrer or whether it

B. Economic Loss Rule

is a question of both law and fact.

The parties need not file any motion addressing the economic loss rule until after the California Supreme Court issues its ruling in the *Southern California Gas Leak Cases*, S246669, *review granted*, 411 P.3d 526, 229 Cal.Rptr.3d 345. In the meantime, all rights and defenses, including Defendants' right to demurrer to any claims under the economic loss rule, are preserved.

IV. JURISDICTION & VENUE

The parties agree that this court has jurisdiction over the parties and that there are no challenges to personal or subject matter jurisdiction.

V. SERVICE

Parties first appearing after the date of this CMO may serve new complaints or cross-complaints on any party presently in the case by uploading all documents via CHP, along with a blank Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt directed to the party being served. Service will be deemed completed when counsel for the defendant(s) or cross-defendant(s) uploads a copy of the signed Notice & Acknowledgement of Receipt to CHP.

Each firm of record and unrepresented litigant is required to sign up with CHP and will be individually responsible for payment of applicable CHP fees.

A Judge's folder has been created for the Court on CHP that will contain pleadings filed with the Court, and a two-way "bulletin board" that may be used for communication between the Court and the parties.

An Order regarding Electronic Service will be filed separately by the Court.

VI. INSURANCE

Defendants SCE, Montecito Water District, and City of San Buenaventura have disclosed initial information regarding their insurance coverage. Plaintiffs have some additional questions raised by the disclosures, and the parties will meet and confer regarding the issues, and bring any disputes to the Court.

VII. DISCOVERY PLAN

Discovery in these proceedings shall proceed in two stages:

Stage One shall relate to: (1) liability discovery; and (2) damages discovery from Individual Plaintiffs, Public Entity Plaintiffs and Subrogation Plaintiffs.

Stage Two shall relate to expert discovery on issues of both liability and damages and will be subject to further order of this Court.

A. Stage One: Liability Discovery

1. By Individual, Public Entity and Subrogation Plaintiffs.

Discovery shall be conducted as directed by Lead Counsel for the Individual Plaintiffs, Lead Counsel for the Public Entities, and Lead Counsel for Subrogation Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have provided to SCE an overview of the initial liability discovery they will be propounding, attached as Exhibit A. Plaintiffs indicated they intend to propound initial written discovery, depositions of persons most qualified on certain topics, and physical examinations. The Parties are ordered to provide the Court with a summary of discovery conducted to date and a description of the next phase of liability discovery they will seek in advance of the August Status Conference.

In order to provide efficiency, economy, and uniformity, prior to serving Defendants with liability discovery, Individual Plaintiffs, Public Entity Plaintiffs, and Subrogation Plaintiffs have agreed to cooperate in good faith to coordinate such discovery. Either the Individual Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Public Entities' Lead Counsel or the Subrogation Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel may serve discovery on Defendants. After liability discovery is served on Defendants, regardless of which Plaintiff group was the serving party, the Individual Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Public Entities' Lead Counsel, and the Subrogation Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel shall collectively meet and

CO

confer with Defendants concerning Defendants' discovery responses. Plaintiffs shall not serve duplicative or cumulative discovery on Defendants.

2. By Defendants.

Defendants shall serve any liability discovery directed to any specific individual Plaintiff, Public Entity Plaintiffs or the Subrogation Plaintiffs on Individual Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Subrogation Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Public Entities' Lead Counsel, and counsel of record for the specific individual plaintiff(s). Where appropriate, the Individual Plaintiffs, Public Entity Plaintiffs, or Subrogation Plaintiffs shall serve Defendants with a Master Response. To the extent such discovery relates to a specific group of Plaintiffs, Defendants may serve such discovery requests on Lead Counsel for each applicable group.

As to verifications, since Individual Plaintiffs, Public Entity Plaintiffs and Subrogating Plaintiffs may not have knowledge of the liability facts and may only be responding on information and belief, no verification of a Master Response will generally need to be served by any Plaintiff, and the Master Responses will be deemed verified by the Individual, Public Entity, and Subrogating Plaintiffs, and will have the same force and effect as if verified by each and every Plaintiff, unless within fourteen (14) days of service of the Master Responses, an Individual, Public Entity or Subrogation Plaintiff serves notice that he, she or it does not agree with the Master Response. Once liability discovery requests are propounded on Plaintiffs, the parties agree to meet and confer regarding how to handle responses from any individual Plaintiffs with eyewitness knowledge regarding liability issues such as origin and cause.

If a Plaintiff objects to any portion of a Master Response, that Plaintiff must serve his, her or its own verified response to the request(s) at issue within fourteen (14) days of service of the Master Response.

3. By Future Parties.

When a Future Case is added after discovery has commenced, the new party shall not be permitted to conduct any discovery that is duplicative or cumulative of discovery already conducted, absent a determination of good cause by the Court. For the avoidance of doubt, the fact that a party is new shall not be good cause for permitting discovery that is duplicative or -7-

Çø

cumulative of discovery already conducted.

B. Stage One: Damages Discovery

1. Subrogation Plaintiffs.

Damages discovery may be propounded to all Subrogation Plaintiffs through a "Master Request to All Subrogation Plaintiffs". The Subrogation Plaintiffs shall serve a "Master Response to the Master Request to All Subrogation Plaintiffs", and each Subrogation Plaintiff shall then serve an "Adoption of the Master Response in Full or in Part". If the response adopts "in Part," the responding Subrogation Plaintiff will set forth any answers that are different from the Master Response in its Adoption. These Adoptions will be verified by each responding Subrogation Plaintiff.

The Subrogation Plaintiffs shall set forth a list of claims for which they are seeking reimbursement. The Subrogation Plaintiffs shall provide to Defendants an updated list of the names, addresses, dates of loss, claim numbers, the amounts paid by Subrogation Plaintiffs and open reserves (as that information is available) as to each of the subrogated claims for which they are seeking reimbursement (hereinafter the "List of Claims") no later than July 18, 2018. The Subrogation Plaintiffs shall provide an updated List of Claims as reasonably requested by the Defendants. Subrogation Plaintiffs shall provide a final List of Claims to Defendants on or before the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, which will constitute the final list of claims to be included in the litigation. The original and/or any amended adoption complaint filed by the Subrogation Plaintiffs will be deemed to set forth all of the information in the List of Claims provided to Defendants pursuant to this Order. Any claims not disclosed by the Subrogating Plaintiffs on or before the due dates above, will be barred by statute. Should there be new mudslide events in the future that Subrogation Plaintiffs allege arise out of the Thomas Fire, the list will be provided before the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations.

The List of Claims provided by the Subrogation Plaintiffs will not be admissible in evidence unless the Defendants later reach an agreement with the Subrogation Plaintiff that prepared the List of Claims that the List of Claims is admissible.

The Subrogation Plaintiffs shall produce claim files on a rolling basis to all Defendants.

- 8 -

. 4

The parties shall meet and confer regarding a schedule for the production of claim files and shall report back to the Court on this issue in sixty (60) days. The Subrogation Plaintiffs suggest rolling out closed claim files first and the parties are discussing the proposal. The parties will submit to the Court how they will deal with any supplements to the claim files, and how Defendants will be notified of additional payments on any of the claims. Claim files may be requested sooner for any Plaintiff claiming a preference or on a case-by-case basis, and Subrogation Plaintiffs will make every effort to produce such claim files within fifteen (15) days of such a request. Subrogation Plaintiffs are already working on a first listing of claims at SCE's request.

2. Individual Plaintiffs.

Each individual plaintiff shall complete the Notice of Adoption/Amendment of Master Complaint, which contains specific facts regarding the case. All other damages case specific discovery including any written discovery, contention discovery or deposition discovery, is stayed until further order of the court.⁵

3. <u>Miscellaneous Discovery Issues.</u>

Plaintiffs and Defendants will agree to use one court reporter service for all depositions in these coordinated actions. All Individual Plaintiffs shall have the right to have their depositions conducted in the County of their residence if they so request.

Discovery requests propounded by any party will be numbered consecutively and sequentially among all sets of discovery.

C. Privileged Communications

The communication, transmission, or dissemination of information of common interest among Plaintiffs' counsel or among Defendants' counsel shall be protected by the attorney-client privilege, the protections afforded by the attorney work-product doctrine, the protections afforded to material prepared for litigation or any other privilege to which a party may otherwise be entitled. Cooperative efforts shall not in any way be used against any of the parties, be cited as purported evidence of conspiracy, wrongful action or wrongful conduct, and shall not be

⁵ Defendants reserve their rights to conduct damages discovery from the class action Plaintiffs, but the parties will meet and confer as to the scope and timing of such discovery, which will be subject to further order of this Court.

CO

communicated to any jury.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

D. Preferential Trial Settings

The parties are ordered to meet and confer on issues relating to preferential trial settings pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 36 and report back to the Court at the next Status Conference.

E. Trial Structure

The parties are ordered to meet and confer on the appropriate structure for trial(s) in these coordinated proceedings, including the scope and procedure relating to any potential bellwether process, such as a bellwether case selection process, case specific discovery and law and motion practice in bellwether and non-bellwether cases, if any, the conduct of bellwether trials, and the suitability of any issue(s) for a mini-trial(s). The parties will report back to the Court at the next Status Conference with further details.

VIII. ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION

The parties are ordered to meet and confer on an ESI protocol, and if unable to reach agreement will submit their disputes to the Court by July 16, 2018.

IX. PROTECTIVE ORDER

The Court adopts the parties' agreed-upon Protective Order, filed separately.

X. STAY OF CLASS ACTION PROCEEDINGS

All class action cases are stayed pending further order of the Court. The Parties are to meet and confer regarding how the class cases will progress.

XI. INSPECTION OF CONDUCTOR REMOVED FROM ANLAUF CANYON

Plaintiffs may not conduct another physical, non-destructive inspection of the same conductor that is the subject of the protocol attached as Exhibit B absent agreement of the Parties or order of the Court.

XII. NEXT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

The next Status Conference will be held on August 23, 2018 at 10 am. The next Status Conference Statement is due to the Court by 5:00 P.M. on August 20, 2018.

Going forward, Court Call is only to be used for attendance. Those who wish to speak or - 10 -

1	make a presentation must appear in person.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	All in the Residence
5	Dated: July 17, 2018 Judge Daniel J. Buckley
6	
7	V
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	